

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,041	10/27/2006	Olivier Lavastre	F-871 (31223.00096)	1686
25264 7590 11/04/2009 FINA TECHNOLOGY INC PO BOX 674412			EXAMINER	
			QIAN, YUN	
HOUSTON, T	'X 77267-4412		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Application No. Applicant(s) 10/553.041 LAVASTRE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit YUN QIAN 1793 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 July 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 10-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-25 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 10-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_\_.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5 Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1793

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Status of Claims

Claims 10--16 remain for examination. Claims 17-25 are previously withdrawn from consideration.

## Previous Grounds of Rejection

Regarding claims 10-16, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bennett et al. (US 5,955,555) in view of Woo et al. (US 5,093,297), further in view of Taylor et al. (Science, Vol.280, No. 536, pp267-270, 1998) stands.

### Response to Arguments

# With regards to the previous Grounds of Rejection

Applicant's arguments filed July 2, 2009, with respect to claims 10-16, have been considered but are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the Examiner fails to establish a *prima* facie case of obviousness and there is no suggestion to combine the references(Remarks, pages 5-6), the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, as discussed in the office action mailed on May 22, 2009, Bennett et al teaches a

Application/Control Number: 10/553,041

Art Unit: 1793

process for polymerization of ethylene catalyzed by a metal complex represent (claim 1).

However, Bennett et al. does not specifically teach anchoring the catalyst to a solid support as per applicant claim 10.

Woo et al teaches a method of preparing polystyrene immobilized rhodium complex catalyst (title, Examples 1-2, col.4, lines 8-68).

Although neither Bennett nor Woo et al. specifically disclose the polystyrene beads as per applicant claim 10, Taylor et al. teaches an assay method for large encoded polymer encoded catalyst beads starting with the same polystyrene supported material as per applicant claim 10, which is commercial available from Rapp Polymer).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Bennett et al. //woo et al. and Taylor et al. to obtain the invention as specified in the claim 10, motivated by the fact that the polymer immobilized catalyst has an advantage of easy recover and possible to reuse. These advantages offer to reduce the production cost (col.1, lines 38-51). Further, the catalysts on the polystyrene material from Rapp Polymer give a larger temperature increase (Reference and Notes #11, page 270). Since all of them teach methods of making and compositions of catalysts, it would have been a reasonable expectation for success.

Therefore, the invention as claim 10 would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Therefore, the rejection stands.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,041 Page 4

Art Unit: 1793

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YUN QIAN whose telephone number is (571)270-5834. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 10:00am -4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should Application/Control Number: 10/553,041 Page 5

Art Unit: 1793

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J.A. LORENGO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793 Examiner, Art Unit 1793

/YUN QIAN/